

E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
CAMERON L. SCHROEDER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, National Security Division  
SOLOMON KIM (Cal. Bar No. 311466)  
KATHRYNNE N. SEIDEN (Cal. Bar No. 310902)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
Terrorism and Export Crimes Section  
1500 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-2450  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
E-mail: solomon.kim@usdoj.gov  
kathrynne.seiden@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT RUNDO,  
ROBERT BOMAN,  
AARON EASON, and  
TYLER LAUBE,  
Defendants.

No. CR 18-759-CJC

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

**[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 03/26/2024**  
**[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL  
CONFERENCE: 03/18/2024**  
**[PROPOSED] DSI POSITIVE  
MOTIONS  
HEARING: 02/26/2024**

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on September 25, 2023. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the

1 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of  
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
5 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
11 diligence.

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 1. The trial in this matter is continued to March 26, 2024.  
14 The Pretrial Conference is continued to March 18, 2024.

15 2. A motion schedule is set as follows:

16 a. Dispositive motions (including any motion to dismiss  
17 or motion to suppress): motions to be filed by January 8, 2024;  
18 oppositions to be filed by January 29, 2024; replies to be filed by  
19 February 5, 2024; and any motions hearing on February 26, 2024.

20 b. Non-dispositive motions (including any motion in  
21 limine): motions to be filed by February 26, 2024; oppositions to be  
22 filed by March 4, 2024; replies to be filed by March 11, 2024; and  
23 any motions hearing on March 18, 2024.

24 3. The time period from December 12, 2023 to March 26, 2024,  
25 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
26 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
27 and (B)(iv).

1           4.    Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
3 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
4 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
5 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
6 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
7 commence.

8           IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10           \_\_\_\_\_  
11           DATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14 Presented by:

15           \_\_\_\_\_  
16           /s/  
17           SOLOMON KIM  
18           KATHRYNNE N. SEIDEN  
19           Assistant United States Attorneys  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28